ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA543527 06/18/2013

Filing date:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	92057110	
Party	Plaintiff Rin, Inc. Jeff Miller Max Kleven	
Correspondence Address	KEVIN M WELCH THE LAW OFFICE OF KEVIN M WELCH PO BOX 494 HERMOSA BEACH, CA 90254 UNITED STATES kevin@kmwlawoffice.com	
Submission	Motion to Suspend for Civil Action	
Filer's Name	Kevin M. Welch	
Filer's e-mail	kevin@kmwlawoffice.com	
Signature	/Kevin M. Welch/	
Date	06/18/2013	
Attachments	Motion.pdf(290349 bytes) Exhibit A- Complaint.pdf(2860287 bytes)	

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

	
Jeff Miller, Max Kleven, and Rin, Inc.	 Petition for Cancellation No.: 92057110 Registration Nos.: 3111161, 2969852, 3582436, 2538312, 2384745, and 1763135
Petitioner, v.) Regarding the Mark: RIN TIN TIN and) RIN TIN TIN CANINE AMBASSADOR CLUB
Daphne Hereford,) PETITIONER'S MOTION TO SUSPEND) PROCEEDINGS PENDING FINAL) DISPOSITION OF A CIVIL ACTION
Respondent.) _)

TO THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD:

Petitioners Jeff Miller, Max Kleven, and Rin, Inc. hereby move the Board to Suspend Proceedings Pending Final Disposition of a Civil Action between the parties involving, *inter alia*, the validity and disposition of United States Trademark Registration Nos.: 3111161, 2969852, 3582436, 2538312, 2384745 and 1763135 which are the registrations at issue in this Petition for Cancellation. The civil action is currently pending in United States District Court for the Central District of California and is captioned *Max Kleven, et al. v. Daphne Hereford, et al.*, civil action no: 13-CV-02783-ABC (AGRx) (hereinafter the "Civil Action").

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §2.117(a), "whenever it shall come to the attention of the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board that a party or parties to a pending case are engaged in a civil action or another Board proceeding which may have a bearing on the case, proceedings before the Board may be suspended until termination of the civil action or the other Board proceeding."

The Board has exercised its discretion to suspend a proceeding pending the outcome of a civil action many times before. See General Motors Corp. v. Cadillac Club Fashions Inc., 22 USPQ2d 1933 (TTAB 1992); Toro Co. v. Hardigg Industries, Inc., 187 USPQ 689 (TTAB 1975), rev'd on other grounds, 549 F.2d 785, 193 USPQ 149 (CCPA 1977); Other Telephone

Co. v. Connecticut National Telephone Co., 181 USPQ 125 (TTAB 1974); petition denied, 181 USPQ 779 (Comm'r 1974); Tokaido v. Honda Associates Inc., 179 USPQ 861 (TTAB 1973); and Whopper-Burger, Inc. v. Burger King Corp., 171 USPQ 805 (TTAB 1971).

In the matter at hand, the Complaint in the Civil Action alleges that the above referenced trademark registrations should be cancelled for all the reasons stated in this present Petition for Cancellation and therefore involves all the same questions of fact and law. Further, Petitioner submits that the District Court's ruling in the Civil Action will have significant bearing on the present Petition for Cancellation. A copy of the Complaint in the Civil Action is attached to this Motion as Exhibit A.

At this time, for the reasons stated above and for judicial economy, Petitioners respectfully request that the Board exercise its discretion to suspend proceedings related to this present Petition for Cancellation until the resolution of the aforementioned Civil Action.

Respectfully Submitted,

Kevin M. Welch

The Law Office of Kevin M. Welch

P.O. Box 494

Hermosa Beach, CA 90254

Tel: (310) 929-0553

Email: kevin@kmwlawoffice.com

Attorney for Petitioners

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and complete copy of the forgoing PETITIONER'S MOTION TO SUSPEND PROCEEDINGS PENDING FINAL DISPOSITION OF A CIVIL ACTION has been served upon William Propp, counsel for Respondent Daphne Hereford, via U.S. Mail, on June 18, 2013 at the following address:

William Propp 8149 Santa Monica Boulevard #245 West Hollywood, CA 90046 propplegal@gmail.com

Dated: June 18, 2013

By: _______ Kevin M. Welc

The Law Office of Kevin M. Welch

P.O. Box 494,

Hermosa Beach, CA 90254

Tel.: (310) 929-0553 Fax: (310) 698-1626

Email: kevin@kmwlawoffice.com

Attorney for Petitioners Jeff Miller, Max Kleven, and

Rin, Inc.

LAW OFFICE OF KEVIN M. WELCH Kevin M. Welch, Esq. (SBN 254565) 2 Kevin@kmwlawoffice.com CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT P.O. Box 494 3 Hermosa Beach CA 90254-0494 Tel.: (310) 929-0553 Fax: (310) 698-1626 4 5 LAW OFFICE OF DAVID L. GERNSBACHER David L. Gernsbacher, Esq. (SBN 089596) 6 dgernsbacher@dlglaw.com 9107 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 450 Beverly Hills CA 90210-5535 Tel.: (310) 550-0125 Fax: (310) 550-0608 9 Attorneys for the Plaintiffs, Max Kleven and Rin, Inc. 10 11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 12 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 13 **WESTERN DIVISION** 14 Case G.V 13 - 02783 15 MAX KLEVEN, an individual; RIN, INC., a California corporation, 16 COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES A Plaintiffs, 17 **INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR:** 18 **COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT** ٧. 1. 19 [17 U.S.C. §§101, et seq.]; DAPHNE HEREFORD, an FEDERAL UNFAIR 20 individual; RIN TIN TIN, INC., a Texas Corporation; BELLEAIR COMPETITION [Lanham Act 21 TRADING INTERNATIONAL, §43(a)];LLC, a Florida limited liability 22 FALSE ASSOCIATION | Lanham 3. company DOES 1 through 20, 23 Act §43(a)]; inclusive, FALSE ENDORSEMENT (Lanham 24 Defendants. Act $\S43(a)$; 25 **FALSE REPRESENTATION** 26 [Lanham Act §43(a)]; 27 COMMON LAW TRADEMARK 28

INFRINGEMENT | Lanham Act

1		§43(a)];
2 3	7.	FEDERAL ANTI- CYBERSQUATTING ACT [Lanham Act §43(c)];
4	8.	FEDERAL TRADEMARK
5		DILUTION [Lanham Act 43(c];
6	9.	CANCELLATION OF UNITED STATES FEDERAL
7 8		TRADEMARK REGISTRATION [Trademark Act §2(a)];
9	10.	CANCELLATION OF UNITED STATES FEDERAL
10		TRADEMARK REGISTRATIONS [Trademark Act §14(3), et seq.];
11	4.4	
12	11.	CANCELLATION OF UNITED STATES FEDERAL
13		TRADEMARK REGISTRATION
14 15		[Fraud - Torres v. Cantine Torresella];
16	12.	UNFAIR COMPETITION [Cal.
17		Bus. & Prof. Code §17200, et seq.];
18	13.	VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA'S
19		CONSUMER LEGAL REMEDIES ACT [Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq.];
20	14.	COMMON LAW UNFAIR
		COMPETITION;
21	15.	COMMON LAW
22		INFRINGEMENT;
23	16.	INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE
24		WITH PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE;
25	17.	NEGLIGENT INTERFERENCE
26		WITH PROSPECTIVE
27		ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE;
8	18.	BREACH OF SETTLEMENT

///

AGREEMENT;

- 19. SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT;
- 20. RESCISSION FAILURE OF CONSIDERATION, FRAUD, PROMISSORY FRAUD AND, ESTOPPEL;
- 21. ELDER FINANCIAL ABUSE [Cal. Welfare & Institutions Code § 15610.30].

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiffs Max Kleven ("Kleven") and RIN, Inc. (collectively, "Plaintiffs") allege on personal knowledge as to their own actions, and upon information and belief as to the actions of others, as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is an action in law and equity for, *inter alia*, Copyright Infringement, Federal Unfair Competition, False Association, False Endorsement, False Representation, Common Law Trademark Infringement, Federal Anti-Cybersquatting, Federal Trademark Dilution, California Unfair Competition, California Consumer Remedies Act, and the Cancellation of United States Federal Trademark Registrations regarding the intellectual property rights associated with the American television series and movie icon named Rin Tin Tin.
- 2. The sole source or "basis" of the multiple attempts to unlawfully exploit the name and fame of the character Rin Tin Tin is the purchase by the grandmother of Defendant Daphne Hereford ("Hereford") of a German Shepherd dog which may have been a descendant of the dog Rin Tin Tin. That and that alone is the sum total of the "basis" for Defendants' hijacking of this icon of American television, film and culture.

6 | 7

PLAINTIFFS' RIN TIN TIN HISTORY

- 3. The story of Rin Tin Tin began in September of 1918, when a Lieutenant Lee Duncan ("Duncan") discovered a litter of newborn German Shepherd puppies on a World War I battlefield in Flirey, France. Duncan rescued the litter and kept one male and one female for himself whom he named Rin Tin Tin and Nanette, respectively. Throughout the remainder of his deployment, Duncan grew hopelessly devoted to his puppies, spending the majority of his free time caring for them and training them. Upon Duncan's return to the states, he brought Rin Tin Tin and Nanette on the fifteen day journey across the Atlantic and was lucky enough to find a German Shepherd breeder in New York who agreed to board them both during the ten days of re-entry camp where troops were debriefed and deloused.
- 4. Unfortunately, the travel was too much for Nanette who caught pneumonia and died during this time, but the breeder provided Duncan with another female puppy, whom Duncan also named Nanette, and who would eventually become known as Rin Tin Tin's wife. Duncan continued his journey home to California where, like many soldiers returning home, he faced the challenge of reintegrating into civilian life.
- 5. Duncan returned to the job he had before the war in the gun department of a sporting-goods store, but the war had left him with a distaste for guns, and his focus continued to be his passion for his dogs and demonstrating Rin Tin Tin's training at dog shows. Duncan met other German Shepherd enthusiasts in the Los Angeles area and founded the Shepherd Dog Club of California.
- 6. One day, Duncan and Rin Tin Tin, whom Duncan nicknamed "Rinty," were accompanied to a dog show by a friend who brought with him a newly developed slow motion camera. That day Rin Tin Tin competed in the "working dog" part of the show and took first place by cleanly jumping a wall made of wooden planks nearly twelve feet tall. Duncan's friend caught the act on film.

After this day Duncan became increasingly interested in getting Rinty on film.

- 7. Hollywood movies at this time had no sound track or dialog, so everything had to be conveyed by action and facial expressions. Duncan was convinced that Rin Tin Tin would be perfect for this media so he brought him down to "Poverty Row" where all the movie studios were located and tried to meet with anyone who would give him a moment of their time.
- 8. Rin Tin Tin eventually got a few bit parts playing a wolf or a wolf-hybrid and was able to demonstrate that he was well-trained and easy to work with. Meanwhile, Duncan began writing a screenplay called "Where the North Begins" in which Rin Tin Tin would play the leading role. Adding fuel to his new plan, about this time, a newsreel company contacted Duncan and offered to pay three hundred and fifty dollars for the film of Rinty jumping over the wall to play in theaters around the Los Angeles area. This was equivalent to about three months of salary for Duncan.
- 9. After Duncan finished his screenplay, he began trying to find a director and producer to make his movie. Duncan and Rinty returned to Poverty Row for hours each day knocking on doors and pitching the screenplay to anyone who would listen. Finally, a small studio owned by four brothers, the Warner brothers, agreed to make the picture.
- 10. 1923's Where the North Begins was an enormous success often credited with saving Warner Bros. from bankruptcy. Warner Bros. made twenty-four more movies starring Rin Tin Tin, each of which was enormously popular, earning Rin Tin Tin the nickname "The Mortgage Lifter" by Jack Warner. He had become a household name throughout the country as a true movie star. In 1927 the Academy Awards were presented for the first time and Rinty received the most votes for "Best Actor," but the members of the Academy decided that the Oscar should go to a human so the votes were recalculated. Rin Tin Tin was not only recognized in America, he quickly gained recognition internationally.

- a dinner in Vienna in 1927, it was not until he mentioned that he produced the Rin Tin Tin films that he received any recognition. That same year film enthusiasts recognized Rin Tin Tin as the most popular actor in Berlin. Rin Tin Tin had become one of the world's first international movie stars.
- 12. All the while Duncan was breeding Rin Tin Tin and Nanette. They had several puppies, most of which Duncan either sold or gave away to prominent people such as Greta Garbo, W.H. Kellogg and Jean Harlow. As Rin Tin Tin began to show signs of age, Duncan began carefully selecting a few puppies and training them to follow in their father's footsteps. The general consensus seems to be that none of Rinty's progeny were as talented as their father but nonetheless several had successful acting careers.
- 13. By this time, Rin Tin Tin had transitioned from the name of a single dog to a fictional character and a legacy; so when the younger generations made their film debuts, they played the part of Rin Tin Tin and no introduction was made and no attention was drawn to the succession. Duncan used to famously say, "There will always be a Rin Tin Tin!"
- 14. When the original Rin Tin Tin died in 1932, America treated his death as a national event. Regularly scheduled broadcasting was interrupted coast to coast to report the event, the next day there was an hour long tribute to the life of Rin Tin Tin, and newspapers throughout the country ran long and detailed obituaries. The *Chicago Tribune* wrote "the greatest of all dog actors became a memory and a tradition."
- 15. Throughout the 1930's and 1940's Rinty's progeny, Rin Tin Tin, Jr. and Rin Tin Tin III, continued to play the part of Rin Tin Tin in movies and even radio broadcasts, although the "barking" on the radio broadcasts was generally done by a human leaving a very small role for a dog to play.
 - 16. The popularity of Rin Tin Tin experienced a second surge upon the

advent of television. Several television producers had approached Duncan for the rights to produce a Rin Tin Tin television program but it was not until a young producer named Herbert Leonard ("Leonard") approached him that Duncan agreed. The television show was called *The Adventures of Rin-Tin-Tin* (Leonard hyphenated the name) and featured a young boy and his dog who were orphaned by an Apache Indian raid and adopted by a U.S. Cavalry unit.

- 17. It was originally supposed to star Duncan's Rin Tin Tin IV. However, Rin Tin Tin IV, who may or may not have been related to the original Rinty, did not audition well. Accordingly, the part of Rin Tin Tin was primarily played by another trainer's dog, named Flame, Jr. *The Adventures of Rin-Tin-Tin* was extremely successful and ran from October 1954 to May 1959, and reruns were broadcast from October 1959 until September 1964. In 1960, Rin Tin Tin was honored with a star on the Hollywood Walk of Fame, one of only three stars ever awarded to animals (Lassie and Strongheart were the others).
- 18. Leonard and Duncan also successfully merchandized the television show. Almost as soon as the *The Adventures of Rin-Tin-Tin* was on the air, you could buy a Rin-Tin-Tin cavalry mess kit, uniform, hat, bugle, gun, and holster, as well as a recording of the 101st Cavalry bugle calls, cavalry belt-and-suspender sets, a Rin-Tin-Tin branded pocket knife, a telescope, a walkie-talkie, a beanie, a pennant, a 3-D color viewer with viewer cards, a brass magic ring, a pinback button, a Wonder Scope, a lunch box, a thermos bottle, a wallet, slippers, jigsaw puzzles, and all sorts of mechanical games. Many of these items are still on the market today, being sold on eBay and similar internet sites.
- 19. Cheerios cereal included premiums for *The Adventures of Rin-Tin-Tin* plastic totem poles; Nabisco Wheat Honeys offered coupons for six different Rin-Tin-Tin masks; you could even buy a Chrysler that was endorsed by "the best mounted cavalry in the world."
 - 20. In 1975, Leonard recycled The Adventures of Rin-Tin-Tin by

scrubbing it of offensive language and racial references to Native Americans and filming "wraparound" scenes to introduce the episodes where the original actors would appear in a rustic setting and explain the old days of the Cavalry to a group of multicultural children. The press release for the scrubbed-up version stated "After Coca-Cola and IBM, Rin-Tin-Tin is the most widely known and immediately identifiable name in the world today...." By the end of 1976, the show was airing five days a week in more than 85 percent of the country.

- 21. By 1978, video rental stores had popped up across the country and the market for children's shows grew more competitive. A method of adding color to black and white film had been invented and Leonard became convinced that he should colorize the Rin Tin Tin films to keep them marketable. The process cost a fortune but people trusted Leonard. Eva Duncan, the wife of the late Duncan, turned over all the Copyrights that were in her name to Leonard and Leonard borrowed against everything that he had. Unfortunately, the gamble was a disaster because the colorized and scrubbed versions still did not compete well with contemporary programming.
- 22. However, Leonard, who was one of the most prolific television producers that ever existed, continued to pitch programming featuring Rin Tin Tin. He wrote a script called *Rin Tin Tin the Tracker*, in which a dog worked with fish and wildlife agents; *Rin Tin Tin the Ultimate Weapon* about a canine who receives superpowers through a horde of flees that escaped from a science lab; *Rin Tin Tin Private Investigator*; and *Rin Tin Tin Secret Agent* about a 14-year-old whiz kid who owns the smartest dog in the world.
- 23. In 1984, the Christian Broadcasting Network ("CBN") ordered twenty-two episodes of a show called *Rin Tin Tin K-9 Cop* which was also called *Katts and Dog* in Canada but the deal ended in litigation because Leonard was newly remarried and didn't want to relocate to the production site in Canada.
 - 24. In 1994, Disney paid Leonard one hundred thousand dollars for a first

look at a script called *River of Gold* featuring the struggles and adventures of a farm family in the 1960's with their dog Rin Tin Tin. The deal included a promise of one million dollars if the studio decided to buy it. Unfortunately, Frank Wells, the Disney executive that had been championing the project, died in a helicopter crash in April of that year and the project died as well.

- 25. Leonard was liked and trusted by most everyone that knew him but at this time in his life his luck had turned and he owed large amounts of money to many people. In 2002, he was diagnosed with cancer and shortly thereafter moved in with his longtime friend Kleven who was one of the stuntmen in *The Adventures of Tin-Tin-Tin*. In resolution of a large debt owed to Kleven, in 2005 Leonard assigned all of intellectual property rights in Rin Tin Tin to Kleven. Kleven became the legal owner of all of the copyrights and common law trademarks rights in and to the Rin Tin Tin legacy.
- 26. Beginning with Duncan in 1923, then Duncan and Leonard, then Leonard, alone, then Leonard and Kleven, then Kleven, alone, and now Kleven and Rin, Inc., the originator of the Rin Tin Tin legacy and his lawful successors have continuously created, pitched, marketed and promoted Rin Tin Tin for almost a century.

DEFENDANTS' "RIN TIN TIN HISTORY"

- 27. The story of Hereford and her company, Defendant Rin Tin Tin, Inc., and Belleair Trading International, LLC ("Belleair") (collectively, "Defendants") begins some 50 years <u>after</u> Duncan discovered the litter of German Shepherd puppies on a World War I battlefield, and long after the name and character Rin Tin Tin had become known world-wide through movies, television shows, books and merchandise.
- 28. In 1956, in his usual pile of fan mail and puppy requests, Duncan received a letter from a woman named Jannettia Propps Brodsgaard ("Brodsgaard"). Brodsgaard explained to Duncan that she had wanted a dog like

Rin Tin Tin ever since she saw Where the North Begins in 1923.

- 29. In 1957, Duncan sold Brodsgaard a German Shepherd puppy which he represented as being one of Rin Tin IV's puppies. This was the first of four puppies that he sold to her. Brodsgaard and eventually her granddaughter, Hereford, began to breed and sell the puppies with the apparent intent of preserving the purported Rin Tin Tin bloodline. This in itself was not an issue, but Hereford began to confuse her ownership of dogs which purportedly shared the bloodline of the dog Duncan found in France in 1918 with the ownership of the Rin Tin Tin legacy and the unlawful exploitation of the iconic Rin Tin Tin character, name and fame.
- 30. In 1993, Hereford sent Leonard a letter stating "Your dedication to Rin Tin Tin over the years is to be commended....Like you, my dedication has lasted for many years....I am very interested in a revitalization of Rin Tin Tin and would like to discuss with you the possibilities. I understand that Lassie and Benji are presently working on feature films and a Rin Tin Tin film would certainly attract a larger audience . . . "
- 31. Having spent the better part of his life producing Rin Tin Tin films and television shows and realizing that Hereford had filed for a federal trademark registration for the mark RIN TIN TIN, Leonard found this letter more ominous than friendly, and he replied with a six-page cease & desist letter. By 1994 the situation had devolved into the filing of an action entitled *Herbert B. Leonard v. Daphne Hereford, et al.* 2:94-cv-02281-CBN-JR, which dragged on for more than two years before it was dropped pursuant to a purported settlement agreement that was placed on the record in open court (the <u>Leonard v. Hereford</u> Settlement").
- 32. After days of negotiations, on January 8, 1996, Leonard and Hereford and their respective attorneys appeared before The Honorable Consuelo B. Marshall, United States District Judge, to place the <u>Leonard v. Hereford</u> Settlement on the record. A true and correct copy of the transcript of the January 8, 1996-

<u>Leonard v. Hereford</u> Settlement hearing is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by this reference.

- 33. In the <u>Leonard v. Hereford</u> Settlement, Hereford stipulated to the following findings:
- a. "Herbert B. Leonard has common law trademark rights in Rin Tin." Transcript at 4:1 8-19.
- b. "Herbert B. Leonard was assigned rights in the Rin Tin Tin mark and character by Lee Duncan in the 19505." Transcript at 5:1-3.
- c. "Herbert B. Leonard acquired all residual rights from Ima [sic]

 Duncan in the Rin Tin Tin mark and character pursuant to certain agreements dated

 April 4, 1978." Transcript at 5:4-6.
- d. "[S]o long as Daphne Hereford's use of the Rin Tin Tin mark is limited to identifying her dogs as descendants of Rin Tin Tin IV in connection with the breeding, raising, training, and selling of German Shepherd dogs, such use is not likely to cause confusion with Herbert B. Leonard's use of the Rin Tin Tin mark and character." Transcript at 5: 7-12.
- 34. In the <u>Leonard v. Hereford</u> Settlement, Hereford received a limited right to use the Rin Tin Tin mark solely "in connection with fan club services, provided that she identifies such fan club as being under license from Herbert B. Leonard." Transcript at 6:14-16. Hereford was also allowed to continue selling German Shepherd dogs with the indication that such dogs were descendants of Rin Tin Tin IV. Id. at 8. However, Hereford agreed that "Daphne Hereford may not use the Rin Tin Tin mark, except with a Roman Numeral to describe her dogs as line-bred descendants of Rin Tin Tin IV." Id. at 8:20-24.
- 35. Upon inquiry by the Court, Hereford declared that she "had an understanding of the terms and conditions of the settlement." Transcript at 30:9-12. Hereford further assured the Court that she would abide by the terms and conditions of the settlement. Id. at 31:6-7.

- 36. The <u>Leonard v. Hereford</u> Settlement, including the stipulations of fact and law and the negotiated terms and conditions, are binding on Hereford and her assigns.
- 37. Unfortunately, despite the <u>Leonard v. Hereford</u> Settlement, there were other lawsuits to follow, including *Kleven et al v. Daphne Hereford et al*, 2:06-cv-00785-CBM-JTL (filed 2/10/2006) and *Rin Tin Tin Incorporated, et al. v. Herbert B. Leonard, et al*, 2:06-cv-03699-CAS-JWJ (filed in Texas on 6/14/2006), the latter ordered transferred to California, and which was subsequently the subject of a purported settlement agreement that long ago was rendered a nullity due to Hereford's fraud and wholesale breach and, ultimately, complete disregard by Hereford and her company Defendant Rin Tin Tin, Inc.
- 38. Defendants' infringing behavior has persisted to this day. Defendants' continued efforts to commandeer the Rin Tin Tin legacy include, without limitation, the fraudulent filing of federal trademark applications for the mark Rin Tin Tin, marketing for sale merchandise clearly but falsely advertised and depicted as being part of the Rin Tin Tin legacy, and falsely representing to others that they and they alone are the rightful holders of that legacy. Despite having absolutely no connection to the creation or ownership of any original or authorized film or television works featuring and which created the Rin Tin Tin icon, Defendants are attempting to profit off the creative efforts of the true owners of the RIN TIN TIN trademark and copyrights in the works that feature the fictional character Rin Tin Tin.
- 39. This is not the first time the Courts have addressed the issue of unrelated third parties attempting to register names of well-known characters in copyrighted works. Similar cases have arisen regarding the trademarks KING KONG, ARCHIE, ARTHUR the AARDVARK, JAMES BOND, THE CHIPMUNKS, CONAN THE BARBARIAN, E.T., the Extra-Terrestrial, GODZILLA, FRANK MERRIWELL, MICKEY and MINNIE MOUSE,

PLASTIC MAN, SUPERMAN and TARZAN.

- 40. Although somewhat varied in their precise reasoning, Courts have consistently found that attempting to profit from the popularity of another's fictional character is unlawful. (See *Tristar Pictures, Inc. v. Del Taco, Inc.*, 59 U.S.P.Q.2d 1091, 1999 WL 33260839 (C.D. Cal. 1999) (When the public associates a character, such as ZORRO, with visual images in a popular movie, then the party who claims ownership of merchandising trademark rights should own a copyright or be licensed to use a copyright in the motion picture visual image.). *Edgar Rice Burroughs, Inc. v. Charlton Publications, Inc.*, 243 F. Supp. 731, 145 U.S.P.Q. 655 (S.D. N.Y. 1965); *Edgar Rice Burroughs, Inc. v. Manns Theatres*, 195 U.S.P.Q. 159, 1976 WL 20994 (C.D. Cal. 1976) (Famous character names, such as TARZAN, in copyrighted works may not be used by others during the life of the copyright without the authorization of the copyright owner) what Defendants have done is no different than an ARCHIE comic book collector buying a vintage Archic comic and then laying claim to the exploitation of the ARCHIE character the collector neither created nor lawfully acquired.
- 41. Plaintiffs, and their predecessors-in-interest, have continually and consistently to this day utilized the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property including, without limitation, all copyrights and the trade name, in the stream of commerce in entertainment, literature, consumer products, merchandising and licensing since Duncan wrote and Warner Bros. produced "Where the North Begins" in 1923, a span of 90 years.

THE PARTIES

- 42. Kleven is an individual and resident of the state of California, and currently resides in the County of Los Angeles, California.
- 43. Rin, Inc. is a California corporation, licensed to do business and doing business in the County of Los Angeles, California.
 - 44. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that

Hereford is an individual and resident of the state of Texas with a mailing address of P.O. Box 27, Crockett, TX 75835.

- 45. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Rin Tin Tin, Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of the state of Texas with a mailing address of P.O. Box 27, Crockett, TX 75835.
- 46. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Belleair is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the state of Florida with a mailing address of 8 Belleview Boulevard, Suite 508, Belleair, Florida 33756.
- 47. The true names, and identities or capacities, whether individual, associate, corporate or otherwise of DOES 1 through 20, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiffs, who therefore sue said defendants by fictitious names. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that each of the defendants sued herein as a DOE are legally responsible in some manner for the events and happenings referred to herein. When the true names, identities and capacities of such fictitiously designated defendants are ascertained, Kleven will ask leave of the Court to amend this complaint to insert said true names, identities and capacities, together with proper charging allegations.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 48. This is an action seeking permanent injunctive relief, trademark cancellation, monetary remedies, other equitable remedies, and attorneys' fees based on the, *inter alia*, copyright infringement, common law trademark infringement, and stated and federal unfair competition and anti-cybersquatting of Defendants in connection with the unauthorized use of the Rin Tin Tin Intellectual Property rights. These unlawful acts have occurred in the State of California, and more specifically in the Central District of California.
- 49. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this lawsuit pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1121 and 1125(a), 17 U.S.C. §§101 et seq., and 28 U.S.C. §§1331,

1338, and 1367, and pursuant to the Court's pendent jurisdiction.

- 50. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Hereford because, *inter alia*, Hereford, through her advertising and sales to customers located in California and in this district, is present in this judicial district, transacts business in this judicial district, and has committed acts in this judicial district upon which the claims asserted in this lawsuit are based.
- 51. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Rin Tin Tin, Inc. because, inter alia, Rin Tin Tin, Inc., through its advertising and sales to customers located in California and in this district, is present in this judicial district, transacts business in this judicial district, and has committed acts in this judicial district upon which the claims asserted in this lawsuit are based.
- 52. Venue is proper in the Central District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b), (c), (d), and 1400(a) and (b).

PLAINTIFFS AND THEIR BUSINESS

- 53. By assignment on or about December 10, 2005, Kleven became the sole owner of the copyrights, in the United States and worldwide, to all of the works featuring the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin that were owned by Rin Tin Tin's owner and creator, Duncan.
- 54. Kleven received all common law trademark rights to the name and image of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin owned by Leonard in the United States and worldwide by assignment executed December 10, 2005.
- 55. The common law trademark rights to the name and image of the fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin that Kleven received by assignment executed December 10, 2005 inured through use that began decades before Hereford or Rin Tin Tin, Inc. ever used the mark RIN TIN TIN in United States commerce.
 - 56. The Rin Tin Tin copyright and common law trademarks rights in and

to the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin are collectively referred to herein as the "Rin Tin Rights."

- 57. On or about February 1, 2013, Kleven assigned Fifty percent (50 %) of the Rin Tin Tin Rights to Sasha Jenson ("Jenson"), Casey La Scala ("La Scala") and Jeff Miller ("Miller") in equal shares. Miller, Jenson and La Scala subsequently assigned their 50% interest in the Rin Tin Tin Rights to Rin, Inc.
- 58. Kleven and Rin, Inc., Plaintiffs herein, are the owners of the copyrights in multiple works registered with the United States Copyright Office that feature the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin.
- German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin have been available for purchase and/or viewing in one form or another continuously, since their very creation for decades. This continuous and exclusive use inures to the benefit of Plaintiffs via the assignment from Duncan to Leonard, from Leonard to Kleven and from Kleven to Kleven and Rin, Inc. Accordingly, Plaintiffs have been using the common law trademark in the name and image of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin continuously in United States (and international) commerce from the date of the creation of the various works, beginning in 1923 and continuing to this very day.
- 60. The multiple copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs that feature the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin will remain live and enforceable for many years to come.
- 61. Plaintiffs are interested in and continue to pursue the production of more movies and/or television shows featuring the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin.
- 62. Plaintiffs are also interested in and continue to pursue the exploitation the merchandizing, licensing and other opportunities related to and featuring the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin.

- 63. Defendants' unlawful claim to intellectual property rights in the name of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character Rin Tin Tin significantly interferes with Plaintiffs' ability to attract and secure movie production investment funding.
- 64. Defendants' unlawful claim to intellectual property rights in the name of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character Rin Tin Tin significantly interferes with Plaintiffs' ability to effectively license and merchandize his intellectual property rights in the name and image of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin.
- 65. Plaintiffs very conservatively estimate that Defendants' unlawful actions have, to date, damaged Plaintiffs monetarily in an amount of no less than Five Million Dollars (\$5,000,000).
- 66. Defendants' unlawful claim to the intellectual property rights in the name of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin poses a serious threat to the continued growth and proliferation of the Rin Tin Tin legacy.

DEFENDANTS' WRONGFUL ACTS RELATED TO THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AT ISSUE

- 67. Defendants hold a false and misguided belief that because they purchased puppies purportedly sired by Rin Tin IV, which may not even be related by blood to the original Rin Tin Tin, that Defendants have somehow also acquired an ownership or custodial interest in the legacy of the character Rin Tin Tin.
- 68. Defendants hold the false and misguided belief that receiving a federal trademark registration through fraud and omission confers upon them legally enforceable trademark rights.
- 69. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants were aware of Kleven's, or his predecessor Leonard's, ownership of the copyrights in multiple works featuring the fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin.
 - 70. Defendants' choice to begin using the mark RIN TIN TIN was not

independent or coincidental, but rather a deliberate and intentional reference to and pirating of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin featured in the many copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs.

- 71. Defendants were in no way involved in or related to the authorship or production of the multiple works that created or contributed to the legacy of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin, but instead have attempted to commandeer the legacy of that famous character, and profit from it through aggressive and fraudulent acquisitions of federal trademark registrations.
- 72. Defendants have no ownership in any of the multiple works that created or contributed to the legacy of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin that exists today.
- 73. Defendant have never received authorization from any owner of one or more of the multiple copyrighted works that created or contributed to the legacy of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin to create a derivative work. Therefore, Defendants cannot have legally acquired any copyrights in any works created by Defendants that feature the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin.
- 74. Defendants have deliberately and willfully attempted to profit from creating a false association between themselves and the source of the popular and successful copyrighted fictional works featuring the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin that are now owned by Plaintiffs.
- 75. Defendants make multiple false and unlawful representations in connection with the commercial sale, or the offer to sell, goods that are likely to lead consumers to believe that Defendants are associated or affiliated with the source of the multiple copyrighted works that feature the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin.
- 76. Hereford, Rin Tin Tin, Inc., and/or Belleair are currently listed as the owners of the following federal trademark registrations (collectively, "Defendants'

	2	
	3	
	4	
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
1	0	
	1	
	2	
	3	
1	4	
1	5	
1	6	
1	7	
	8	
1	9	
2	0	
2	1	
2	2	
2	3	
2		
	5	
2	6	
2	7	ı

Reg. No.	Mark	Good/Services
4263551	RIN TIN TIN	Entertainment services in the field of motion pictures featuring a German Shepherd dog in international class 041.
3215700	RIN TIN TIN	Dog clothing; Dog collars; Dog leashes; Dog shoes; Handbags; Purses; Tote bags in international class 018; Bowls; Brushes for pets; Cups; Mugs; Pet brushes; Pet feeding dishes in international class 021; Hats; Jackets; Muffs; Scarves; Slippers; Sweat shirts; T-shirts in international class 025; Board games; Dog toys; Plush toys; Puzzles; Soft sculpture plush toys in international class 028.
3111161	RIN TIN TIN	Printed publications, namely, children's books in international class 016.
2969852	RIN TIN TIN	Printed publications, namely, magazines, pamphlets, books and comic books about German Shepherd dogs; activity and coloring books, posters, stickers, business cards, and cards in the nature of greeting cards and trading cards in international class 016; Playing cards in international class 028; and Entertainment services in the nature of an ongoing television series in the field of variety and motion pictures featuring a German Shepherd dog as a live or animated character in international 041.

3582436	RIN TIN TIN	live German Shepherd dogs of Rin Tin Tin lineage in international class 031.
3380788	RIN TIN TIN	Dog food in international class 031.
2538312	RIN TIN TIN	mail order fan club service providing materials promoting the breeding, training, raising and showing of the authentic RIN TIN TIN German Shepherd dog lineage in international class 041.
2384745	RIN TIN TIN CANINE AMBASSADOR CLUB	EDUCATION AND ENTERTAINMENT; NAMELY promotion of responsible dog ownership through programs presented to schools and groups in international class 041.
1763135	RIN TIN TIN (Stylized)	live German Shepherd puppies in international class 031.

COUNT I

[Copyright Infringement - 17 U.S.C. §§101, et seq.]

- 77. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 76 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 78. Plaintiffs are the owners of multiple works registered with the United States Copyright office that feature the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin.
- 79. Defendants have had access to and are very familiar with the multiple copyrighted works that feature the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin.
- 80. Defendants are currently offering for sale and selling books, cards, clothing, collars, leashes, films, dog food and treats, jewelry, photographs, plush toys, games, slippers, training and grooming supplies featuring the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin without authority, permission, or license.

- 81. Defendants are currently offering for sale and selling books, cards, clothing, collars, leashes, films, dog food and treats, games, jewelry, photographs, plush toys, slippers, training and grooming supplies that feature an image of a German Shepherd and the name Rin Tin Tin in manner that evokes a substantial similarity to the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin without authority, permission, or a license.
- 82. Defendant did not independently choose to sell goods that feature an original image of German Shepherd named Rin Tin Tin, but rather they have copied the name and image from the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin that was introduced to the world in the nineteen-twenties and gained popularity through the multiple copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs.
- 83. Defendants are well aware that Plaintiffs are the owners of multiple works that are registered with the United States Copyright office featuring the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin and that Plaintiffs do not approve of or authorize Defendants' actions.
- 84. Defendant's actions in relation to offering for sale and selling goods featuring a German Shepherd and the name Rin Tin Tin in manner that evokes a substantial similarity to the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin is with full knowledge that such action violates United States copyright laws, and the intent to infringe the copyrighted works.
- 85. Defendants' websites, (<u>www.rintintin.com</u>) and (<u>www.bellairtrading.com</u>), are used, *inter alia*, to conduct commerce throughout the United States and the world in goods that feature a German Shepherd and the name Rin Tin Tin in a manner that evokes a substantial similarity to the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin featured in the multiple registered copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs.
- 86. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered damage and injury including, without

limitation, loss of use, exploitation and commercialization of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, diminution in value of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, loss of earnings, earning capacity and profits, loss of reputation and goodwill, professional acknowledgment and credits, and public fame, all according to proof.

- 87. As a further direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Kleven has suffered injury to Plaintiffs' persons and psyches including, without limitation, great and severe emotional distress, according to proof.
- 88. As a further direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have incurred and continue to incur attorneys' fees and costs, which Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants as damages under the third party/tort of another theory, according to proof.
- 89. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address Defendants' continuing infringement of Plaintiffs' multiple registered copyrighted works that feature the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

COUNT II

[Federal Unfair Competition - 15 U.S.C. §1125(a), et seq. (Lanham Act §43(a))]

- 90. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 89 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 91. The Rin Tin In legacy was created by the hours of dedicated and tireless work and creative genius by many who wrote, directed, and produced the multiple television episodes and movies that brought the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin to popularity throughout the United States

of America and around the world.

- 92. Without investing the time, effort, and money to create the works that led to people throughout the world to know and love the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin, Defendants are attempting to appropriate and profit from the Rin Tin Tin legacy and claim it as their own.
- 93. Defendants' actions can only be described as an effort to reap what they have not sown, and such behavior is below the accepted threshold of commercial morality and fair play.
- 94. Through aggressive and unlawful actions, Defendants are attempting to profit from the misappropriation of the Rin Tin Tin legacy.
- 95. Defendants are conducting business in a manner that violates United States copyright law, and such behavior also constitutes federal unfair competition.
- 96. Defendants' actions are inhibiting the true owners of the copyrighted works that feature the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin from effectively merchandizing the famous fictional character.
- 97. Because Defendants are using the mark RIN TIN TIN in commerce in an unauthorized manner, Defendants have caused and are causing substantial and irreparable harm to the goodwill associated with Plaintiffs' common law mark RIN TIN TIN and will continue to damage Plaintiffs and to deceive consumers unless enjoined by this Court.
- 98. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered damage and injury including, without limitation, loss of use, exploitation and commercialization of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, diminution in value of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, loss of earnings, earning capacity and profits, loss of reputation and goodwill, professional acknowledgment and credits, and public fame, all according to proof.
- 99. As a further direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have incurred and continues to incur attorneys'

fees and costs, which Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants as damages under the third party/tort of another theory, according to proof.

100. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address the continuing harm to the reputation and goodwill of the Rin Tin Tin legacy caused by Defendants' ongoing wrongful and unlawful use of the mark RIN TIN TIN in commerce, as alleged herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

COUNT III

[False Association - 15 U.S.C. §1125(a), et seq. (Lanham Act §43(a))]

- 101. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 100 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 102. Defendants' website, (www.rintintin.com), claims to be the "Official Rin Tin Tin" site; a statement that directly implies that Defendants and their website are associated or affiliated with those who created or own the multiple copyrighted works that feature the iconic German Shepherd character Rin Tin Tin. (See Exhibit B, attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference.)
- 103. Defendants' website, (<u>www.rintintin.com</u>), features a "Licensing Resource Center" where consumers are invited to learn about licensing, invest in RIN TIN TIN licensing, learn the steps to obtain a licensing agreement, and initiate the licensing process (See Exhibit C, attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference); such statements and information are likely to lead consumers to believe that Defendants are associated or affiliated with those who created or own the multiple copyrighted works that feature the iconic German Shepherd character Rin Tin Tin.
- 104. The unlawful conduct of Defendants is likely to continue to cause confusion, to cause mistake, and/or deceive consumers as to the origin, source,

affiliation, or sponsorship of the goods provided by Defendants in connection with the mark RIN TIN TIN.

- 105. Consumers who are familiar with one or more of the multiple copyrighted works that feature the iconic German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin are likely to assume that goods provided by Defendants are of the same high quality as the cinematography with which they are familiar.
- or more of the multiple copyrighted works that feature the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin and benefit financially from sales that are, at least in part, influenced by these consumers' appreciation of the quality of the copyrighted works with which they are familiar, as well as a false assumption that Defendants are authorized by or affiliated with the creators or owners of the copyrighted works.
- as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered damage and injury including, without limitation, loss of use, exploitation and commercialization of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, diminution in value of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, loss of earnings, earning capacity and profits, loss of reputation and goodwill, professional acknowledgment and credits, and public fame, all according to proof.
- 108. As a further direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have incurred and continue to incur attorneys' fees and costs, which Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants as damages under the third party/tort of another theory, according to proof.
- 109. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address the continuing harm to Plaintiffs' business, reputation and goodwill caused by Defendants' ongoing false associations, as alleged herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable

grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

COUNT IV

[False Endorsement - 15 U.S.C. §1125(a) et seq. (Lanham Act §43(a))]

- 110. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 109 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 111. Defendants sell a variety of goods that feature the image and name of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character featured in multiple copyrighted works in a manner that is likely to lead consumers to believe that the quality of the goods are endorsed by the creator or owner of the multiple copyrighted works that feature the iconic fictional German Shepherd character Rin Tin Tin.
- 112. Defendants' websites, (www.rintintin.com) and (www.belleairtrading.com), make multiple direct references to the cinematographic history and legacy of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin, thereby directly implying that their use of the mark RIN TIN TIN is an endorsement by the iconic fiction German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin rather than a separate, subsequently founded, and independently created brand by the name of RIN TIN TIN.
- 113. Defendants' website advertises the ability to grant licenses in the RIN TIN TIN mark without owning any copyrighted works that feature the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin.
- 114. The name Rin Tin Tin is inseparably associated with the iconic fictional German Shepherd character featured in multiple copyrighted works, and therefore, any license or endorsement granted by Defendants would inevitably cause consumers to falsely believe that such license or endorsement was associated with the creator or owner of the multiple copyrighted works.
- 115. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered damage and injury including, without limitation, loss of use, exploitation and commercialization of the RIN TIN

intellectual property, diminution in value of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, loss of earnings, earning capacity and profits, loss of reputation and goodwill, professional acknowledgment and credits, and public fame, all according to proof.

- 116. As a further direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have incurred and continue to incur attorneys' fees and costs, which Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants as damages under the third party/tort of another theory, according to proof.
- 117. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address the continuing harm to Plaintiffs' business, reputation and goodwill caused by Defendants' ongoing false endorsements, as alleged herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

COUNT V

[False Representation - 15 U.S.C. §1125(a), et seq. (Lanham Act §43(a))]

- 118. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 117 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 119. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Defendants' representations to the public and their potential customers that Defendants produce the world's only linebred Rin Tin Tin descendants are false, and cannot be established as fact by Defendants.
- 120. On Defendants' website, they represent that they have the authority to grant licenses in the mark RIN TIN TIN and entice their customers to "Maximize the appeal and demand for your products or service by incorporating this famous Hollywood icon!" thus making it unequivocally clear that they are referring to an association with the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin that is the central character in several currently copyrighted works in which Defendants hold no ownership interest.

- 121. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered damage and injury including, without limitation, loss of use, exploitation and commercialization of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, diminution in value of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, loss of earnings, earning capacity and profits, loss of reputation and goodwill, professional acknowledgment and credits, and public fame, all according to proof.
- 122. As a further direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have incurred and continue to incur attorneys' fees and costs, which Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants as damages under the third party/tort of another theory, according to proof.
- 123. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address the continued harm to Plaintiffs' business, reputation and goodwill caused by Defendants' ongoing false representations, as alleged herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

COUNT VI

[Common Law Trademark Infringement - 15 U.S.C. §1125(a), et seq. (Lanham Act §43(a))]

- 124. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 123 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 125. The iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin is a famous and immediately recognizable character throughout the United States and the world, and as such the mark RIN TIN TIN (especially when used in conjunction with a German Shepherd) has gained secondary meaning as to the source that has provided the multiple copyrighted television shows and movies owned by Plaintiffs that feature the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin.

- 126. Consumers assume that goods and services that feature the mark RIN TIN TIN are associated or affiliated with the creators or owners of the multiple copyrighted works that feature the famous and iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin.
- 127. Kleven received ownership of the common law trademark RIN TIN TIN via an assignment from Leonard that was executed on December 10, 2005, and Rin, Inc. received 50% of Kleven's ownership on or about February 1, 2013.
- 128. The multiple copyrighted television episodes and movies featuring the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin have been available for sale or viewing, in one form or another, continuously and exclusively for decades; therefore, the common law rights in the mark RIN TIN TIN have been used continuously and exclusively and have never been abandoned.
- 129. Defendants make multiple references to Hollywood, the movies, and the cinematographic history of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin; therefore, consumers are likely to incorrectly assume that the goods and services provided by Defendants in conjunction with the mark RIN TIN TIN are associated or affiliated with the source of the multiple popular copyrighted works--an assumption that will cause a likelihood of confusion as to source and affiliation.
- 130. Because Defendants have made every effort to associate themselves with the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin featured in the many copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs, Defendants could not have acquired independent secondary meaning in the mark RIN TIN TIN.
- 131. Defendants' continued use of the mark RIN TIN TIN is deceiving the consuming public, misrepresenting the source of Defendants' goods, and presenting a substantial likelihood of confusion as to source or affiliation.
- 132. Defendants' use of the mark RIN TIN TIN is destroying the goodwill that has been acquired through the years of hard work and dedication on behalf of those who produced the multiple copyrighted works featuring the iconic fictional

German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin.

- as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered damage and injury including, without limitation, loss of use, exploitation and commercialization of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, diminution in value of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, loss of earnings, earning capacity and profits, loss of reputation and goodwill, professional acknowledgment and credits, and public fame, all according to proof.
- 134. As a further direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have incurred and continue to incur attorneys' fees and costs, which Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants as damages under the third party/tort of another theory, according to proof.
- 135. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address the continued harm to Plaintiffs' business, reputation and goodwill caused by Defendants' ongoing trademark infringement, as alleged herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

COUNT VII

[Federal Anti-Cybersquatting Act - 15 U.S.C. §1125(c), et seq. (Lanham Act §43(c))]

- 136. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 136 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 137. Defendants purchased the Internet domain name <u>www.rintintin.com</u> on or about December 1, 1999.
- 138. The domain name purchased by Defendants is identical to the name of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character featured in the multiple copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs.
 - 139. Rin Tin Tin, the name of the iconic fictional German Shepherd

character featured in the multiple copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs, was a famous and distinctive trademark for several decades at the time Defendants registered the Internet domain name, www.rintintin.com.

- 140. Defendants have used the domain name, <u>www.rintintin.com</u>, to gain financially from the fame and reputation of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin featured in the multiple copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs by creating a false association with and affiliation between themselves and the popular copyrighted works to sell a variety of goods.
- 141. Defendants have used the domain name, <u>www.rintintin.com</u>, to gain financially from the fame and reputation of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin featured in the multiple copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs by selling goods featuring the name and image of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character without authorization or permission.
- 142. Defendants have used the domain name, <u>www.rintintin.com</u>, to gain financially from the fame and reputation of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin featured in the multiple copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs by misappropriating the goodwill associated with the common law trademark in the image and name of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character.
- 143. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered damage and injury including, without limitation, loss of use, exploitation and commercialization of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, diminution in value of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, loss of earnings, earning capacity and profits, loss of reputation and goodwill, professional acknowledgment and credits, and public fame, all according to proof.
- 144. As a further direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have incurred and continue to incur attorneys' fees and costs, which Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants as damages under the third party/tort of another theory, according to proof.

145. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address the continued harm to Plaintiffs' business, reputation and goodwill caused by Defendants' ongoing bad faith attempts to profit from Plaintiffs' common law trademark RIN TIN TIN, as alleged herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

COUNT VIII

[Federal Trademark Dilution - 15 U.S.C. §1125(c), et seq. (Lanham Act §43(c))]

- 146. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 146 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 147. The name of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin featured in the multiple popular copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs is a famous and distinctive trademark.
- 148. Plaintiffs are the senior user of the famous and distinctive mark RIN TIN TIN.
- 149. Defendants' use of the identical mark RIN TIN TIN diminishes the distinctiveness, uniqueness, and the crispness of the association in the minds of consumers between Plaintiffs' mark RIN TIN TIN and the source of goods and services which it represents.
- 150. Defendants' use of the identical mark RIN TIN TIN erodes the strength of Plaintiffs' mark RIN TIN TIN by blurring its ability to serve as an indicator of a <u>single source</u>.
- 151. Defendants' use of the identical mark RIN TIN TIN erodes the strength of the Plaintiffs' mark RIN TIN TIN by tarnishing and degrading the positive associations and reputation of the mark.
 - 152. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct

as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered damage and injury including, without limitation, loss of use, exploitation and commercialization of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, diminution in value of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, loss of earnings, earning capacity and profits, loss of reputation and goodwill, professional acknowledgment and credits, and public fame, all according to proof.

- 153. As a further direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have incurred and continues to incur attorneys' fees and costs, which Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants as damages under the third party/tort of another theory, according to proof.
- 154. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address the continuing dilution of Plaintiffs' famous and distinctive mark caused by Defendants' ongoing wrongful and unlawful use of the identical mark, as alleged herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

COUNT IX

[Cancellation of United States Federal Trademark Registrations - Trademark Act §2(a), et seq.]

- 155. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 154 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 156. Defendants' Federal Trademark Registrations are for the same name as the iconic fictional German Shepherd character featured in multiple copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs.
- 157. The iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin featured in multiple copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs was famous well before Defendants filed the trademark applications that matured into Defendants' Federal Trademark Registrations for the mark RIN TIN TIN.
 - 158. Defendants' Federal Trademark Registrations point uniquely and

unmistakably to the iconic fictional German Shepherd character featured in multiple copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs.

- 159. The iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin featured in multiple copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs is sufficiently famous that the use of Defendants' Federal Registrations for the mark RIN TIN TIN would uniquely point to and create an association with the iconic fictional German Shepherd character.
- 160. Defendants make several references to the iconic fictional German Shepherd character featured in the multiple copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs on the website, www.rintitntin.com, making it unequivocal that Defendants are, in fact attempting to reference the iconic fictional German Shepherd character featured in the multiple copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs.
- 161. Plaintiffs, the owners of the multiple copyrighted works that feature the iconic fictional German Shepherd character Rin Tin Tin, are not associated with Defendants or the goods Defendants sell or offer to sell.
- 162. Due to the fame of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character Rin Tin Tin, when Defendants use Defendants' fraudulently acquired Federal Trademark Registrations, consumers are highly likely to presume there is a connection between Defendants and the iconic fictional German Shepherd character Rin Tin Tin. Indeed, Defendants clearly intend that such connection be made.
- 163. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address the continuing harm caused by Defendants' ongoing wrongful and unlawful Federal Registrations for the mark RIN TIN TIN, as alleged herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

28 ///

///

5

COUNT X

[Cancellation of United States Federal Trademark Registrations - Trademark Act §14(3), et seq.]

- 164. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 163 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 165. Defendants are using Defendants' Federal Registrations for the mark RIN TIN TIN in a manner that misrepresents the source of the associated goods.
- 166. Defendants' website claims to be the "Official Site of Rin Tin Tin" and features a scene of the famous Hollywood sign and a slide show of photographs of Rinty and movie posters from old Rin Tin Tin movies, thus making the representation that Defendants are associated or affiliated with the iconic fictional German Shepherd character featured in multiple copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs, which is a material and deceptive misrepresentation of the source of Defendants' goods.
- 167. Defendants' website advertises the licensing of the mark RIN TIN TIN to third parties by saying "Build your brand with RIN TIN TIN and maximize the appeal and demand for your product or service by incorporating this famous Hollywood icon!" Such language is an unequivocal attempt to misrepresent the source or affiliation of their goods with the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin featured in multiple copyrighted works owned by Plaintiffs.
- 168. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address the continuing harm caused by Defendants' wrongful and unlawful Federal Registrations for the mark RIN TIN TIN, as alleged herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

COUNT XI

[Cancellation of United States Federal Trademark Registration - Fraud-Torres v. Cantine Torresella]

- 169. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 168 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 170. When filing each federal trademark application, Defendants swore an oath:

"that he/she believes applicant to be entitled to use such mark in commerce; to the best of his/her knowledge and belief no other person, firm, corporation, or association has the right to use the mark in commerce, either in the identical form thereof or in such near resemblance thereto as to be likely, when used on or in connection with the goods/services of such other person, to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive; and that all statements made of his/her own knowledge are true; and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true."

- 171. Defendants knew this representation was untrue at the time Defendants made this representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office.
- 172. Defendants made this misrepresentation for the explicit purpose of deceiving the United States Patent and Trademark Office into granting a federal trademark registration.
- 173. The United States Patent and Trademark Office materially relied on Defendants' misrepresentations when it granted Defendants United States federal trademark registrations.
- 174. Defendants United States Federal Trademark registrations will harm consumers by creating source and affiliation confusion.
- 175. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to

address the continuing harm caused by Defendants' wrongful and unlawful Federal Registrations for the mark RIN TIN TIN, as alleged herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

COUNT XII

[Unfair Competition - Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200]

- 176. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 175 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 177. The unlawful conduct of Defendants, as alleged herein, constitutes unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent business practices in violation of California Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.
- 178. The wrongful acts of Defendants proximately caused, and will continue to cause, substantial injury to Plaintiffs, including confusion of potential customers, injury to reputation, and diminution of the goodwill associated with Plaintiffs' common law trademarks. These actions, if allowed to continue, will cause irreparable harm and injury to Plaintiffs, the full amount of which has not been ascertained, but is no less than \$5,000,000.
- 179. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered damage and injury including, without limitation, loss of use, exploitation and commercialization of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, diminution in value of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, loss of earnings, earning capacity and profits, loss of reputation and goodwill, professional acknowledgment and credits, and public fame, all according to proof.
- 180. As a further direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have incurred and continue to incur attorneys' fees and costs, which Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants as damages under the third party/tort of another theory, according to proof.
 - 181. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is

the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address the continuing harm to Plaintiffs and the public caused by Defendant's ongoing unfair, unlawful, and fraudulent business practices in violation of California Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq., as alleged herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

COUNT XIII

[Violation of California's Consumer Legal Remedies Act - Cal. Civ. Code § 1750, et seq.]

- 182. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 181 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 183. Defendants' use of the mark RIN TIN TIN and their exploitation of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin are unfair and deceptive, misrepresent the source of Defendants' goods, and misrepresent the affiliation and sponsorship of Defendants' goods.
- 184. Specifically, Defendants' conduct as alleged herein includes, without limitation, the following:
- a. Misrepresenting the source and approval of Defendants' goods or services [Cal. Civ. Code § 1770 (a) (2)];
- b. Misrepresenting the affiliation, connection, or association with, or certification by, another [Cal. Civ. Code § 1770 (a) (3)];
- c. Representing that goods or services have sponsorship, approval, characteristics, ingredients, uses, benefits, or quantities which they do not have or that a person has a sponsorship, approval, status, affiliation, or connection which he or she does not have [Cal. Civ. Code § 1770 (a) (5)].
- 185. Plaintiffs have given Defendants adequate warning to cease Defendants unfair, deceptive, and misleading practices and Defendants have failed and refused to do so.

- as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered damage and injury including, without limitation, loss of use, exploitation and commercialization of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, diminution in value of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, loss of earnings, earning capacity and profits, loss of reputation and goodwill, professional acknowledgment and credits, and public fame, all according to proof.
- 187. As a further direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have incurred and continue to incur attorneys' fees and costs, which Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants as damages under the third party/tort of another theory, according to proof.
- 188. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address the continuing harm to Plaintiffs and the public caused by Defendant's unfair, deceptive, and misleading practices, as alleged herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

COUNT XIV

[Common Law Unfair Competition]

- 189. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 188 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 190. By their acts as alleged herein including, without limitation, Defendants' misappropriation of Plaintiff's exclusive right to exploit the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin and their unauthorized use of the mark RIN TIN TIN, Defendants have engaged in common law unfair competition.
- 191. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered damage and injury including, without limitation, loss of use, exploitation and commercialization of the RIN TIN

intellectual property, diminution in value of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, loss of earnings, earning capacity and profits, loss of reputation and goodwill, professional acknowledgment and credits, and public fame, all according to proof.

- 192. As a further direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have incurred and continue to incur attorneys' fees and costs, which Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants as damages under the third party/tort of another theory, according to proof.
- 193. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Defendants' unlawful conduct as alleged herein, was deliberate, despicable and malicious, undertaken with a conscious and utter disregard of Defendants' statutory and common law obligations to Plaintiffs, in complete disregard of Plaintiffs' intellectual property rights and with a clear intention of depriving Plaintiffs of the value and use of such rights, all to Defendants' own benefit. Defendants' despicable conduct has subjected and continues to subject Plaintiffs to cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of Plaintiffs' rights so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages, according to proof. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the unlawful conduct of each Defendant was authorized and/or ratified by the remaining Defendants.
- 194. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address the continuing harm to Plaintiffs and the public caused by Defendant's unfair, deceptive, and misleading practices, as alleged herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

COUNT XV

[Common Law Infringement]

195. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF – Page 40

Paragraphs 1 through 194 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

- 196. By their acts as alleged herein including, without limitation,
 Defendants' misappropriation of Plaintiff's exclusive right to exploit the iconic
 fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin, Defendants have engaged
 in common law trademark infringement under California law.
- 197. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Defendants' unlawful conduct as alleged herein, was deliberate, despicable and malicious, undertaken with a conscious and utter disregard of Defendants' statutory and common law obligations to Plaintiffs, in complete disregard of Plaintiffs' intellectual property rights and with a clear intention of depriving Plaintiffs of the value and use of such rights, all to Defendants' own benefit. Defendants' despicable conduct has subjected and continues to subject Plaintiffs to cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of Plaintiffs' rights so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages, according to proof. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the unlawful conduct of each Defendant was authorized and/or ratified by the remaining Defendants.
- 198. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address the continuing harm caused to Plaintiffs and the public by Defendant's infringement, as alleged herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

COUNT XVI

[Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage]

- 199. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 198 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 200. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Defendants had knowledge of Plaintiffs' prospective economic advantage in the

exploitation of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin and the mark RIN TIN TIN.

- 201. Defendants intentionally interfered with said opportunities by various acts including, but not limited to, the following:
- a. Defendants' prior and continuing misappropriation of Plaintiff's exclusive right to exploit the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin, as alleged herein;
- b. Defendants' prior and continuing unauthorized use of the mark RIN TIN, as alleged herein;
- c. Defendants' prior and continuing copyright infringement, as alleged herein;
- d. Defendants' prior and continuing false association, as alleged herein;
- e. Defendants' prior and continuing false endorsement, as alleged herein:
- f. Defendants' prior and continuing trademark infringement, as alleged herein.
- 202. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Defendants' conduct as alleged herein was intentional and/or was committed with a reckless disregard to the consequences of their conduct; and/or with specific intent to further their own pecuniary interest and to reap unfair financial gains in violation of the trust placed in them by the public, knowing all the while of the damage that would be sustained by Plaintiffs.
- 203. The aforementioned acts of Defendants were wrongful and tortuous independent of the interference itself for the reasons alleged above.
- 204. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered damage and injury including, without limitation, loss of use, exploitation and commercialization of the RIN TIN

intellectual property, diminution in value of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, loss of earnings, earning capacity and profits, loss of reputation and goodwill, professional acknowledgment and credits, and public fame, all according to proof.

- 205. As a further direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have incurred and continues to incur attorneys' fees and costs, which Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants as damages under the third party/tort of another theory, according to proof.
- 206. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Defendants' unlawful conduct as alleged herein, was deliberate, despicable and malicious, undertaken with a conscious and utter disregard of Defendants' statutory and common law obligations to Plaintiffs, in complete disregard of Plaintiffs' intellectual property rights and with a clear intention of depriving Plaintiffs of the value and use of such rights, all to Defendants' own benefit. Defendants' despicable conduct has subjected and continues to subject Plaintiffs to cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of Plaintiffs' rights so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages, according to proof. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that the unlawful conduct of each Defendant was authorized and/or ratified by the remaining Defendants.
- 207. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address the continuing harm caused to Plaintiffs by Defendant's ongoing wrongful and unlawful interference, as alleged herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

COUNT XVII

[Negligent Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage] 208. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of

Paragraphs 1 through 198 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

- 209. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Defendants knew or should have known of Plaintiffs' prospective economic advantage in the exploitation of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin and the mark RIN TIN.
- 210. Defendants negligently interfered with said opportunities by various acts including, but not limited to, the following:
- a. Defendants' prior and continuing misappropriation of Plaintiff's exclusive right to exploit the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin, as alleged herein;
- b. Defendants' prior and continuing unauthorized use of the mark RIN TIN, as alleged herein;
- c. Defendants' prior and continuing copyright infringement, as alleged herein;
- d. Defendants' prior and continuing false association, as alleged herein;
- e. Defendants' prior and continuing false endorsement, as alleged herein;
- f. Defendants' prior and continuing trademark infringement, as alleged herein.
- 211. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis allege, that Defendants' conduct was committed with specific intent to further their own pecuniary interest and to reap unfair financial gains in violation of the trust placed in them by the public, and Defendants should have known all the while of the damage that would be sustained by Plaintiffs.
- 212. The aforementioned acts of Defendants were wrongful and tortuous independent of the interference itself for the reasons alleged above.
 - 213. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct

as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered damage and injury including, without limitation, loss of use, exploitation and commercialization of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, diminution in value of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, loss of earnings, earning capacity and profits, loss of reputation and goodwill, professional acknowledgment and credits, and public fame, all according to proof.

- 214. As a further direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have incurred and continue to incur attorneys' fees and costs, which Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants as damages under the third party/tort of another theory, according to proof.
- 215. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address the continuing harm caused to Plaintiffs by Defendant's ongoing wrongful and unlawful interference, as alleged herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

COUNT XVIII

[Breach of Contract – Settlement Agreement]

- 216. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 215 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 217. Plaintiffs and their predecessors-in-interest have performed all acts, covenants and conditions required of them under the <u>Leonard v. Hereford</u>

 Settlement except those, if any, excused and/or made impossible or impracticable by the unlawful and wrongful acts of Hereford and her successors-in-interest.
- 218. By their acts as alleged hereinabove, Defendants have breached and continue to breach the Leonard v. Hereford Settlement.
- 219. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have suffered damage and injury including, without limitation, loss of use, exploitation and commercialization of the RIN TIN

intellectual property, diminution in value of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, loss of earnings, earning capacity and profits, loss of reputation and goodwill, professional acknowledgment and credits, and public fame, all according to proof.

- 220. As a further direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Plaintiffs have incurred and continue to incur attorneys' fees and costs, which Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants as damages under the third party/tort of another theory, according to proof.
- 221. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address the continuing harm caused to Plaintiffs by Defendant's ongoing wrongful and unlawful interference, as alleged herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

COUNT XX

[Specific Performance of Settlement Agreement]

- 222. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 221 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 223. Hereford received legally adequate consideration for her promises under the <u>Leonard v. Hereford</u> Settlement, which settlement and agreement were just and reasonable to the parties thereto.
- 224. Plaintiffs and their predecessors-in-interest have performed all acts, covenants and conditions required of them under the <u>Leonard v. Hereford</u>

 Settlement except those, if any, excused and/or made impossible or impracticable by the unlawful and wrongful acts of Hereford and her successors-in-interest.
- 225. By their acts as alleged hereinabove, Defendants have breached and continue to breach the Leonard v. Hereford Settlement.
- 226. Defendants' breach, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no sufficient or adequate remedy at law

to address the past and continuing harm caused to Plaintiffs by Defendant's ongoing wrongful and unlawful breach, and the consequences thereof. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to specific performance of the terms of <u>Leonard v. Hereford</u> Settlement, and hereby request that the court issue an Order requiring Defendants, and each of them, to perform the terms of the <u>Leonard v. Hereford</u> Settlement.

COUNT XX

[Rescission - Failure of Consideration, Fraud, Promissory Fraud, Estoppel]

- 227. Plaintiffs repeat and incorporate by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 226 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 228. To the extent that one or more Defendants may assert that the purported <u>Kleven v. Hereford</u> Settlement is relevant to or affects any or all of Plaintiffs' claims herein, such agreement is a nullity and of no force or effect for the reasons set forth below.
- 229. Defendants have so wholly breached and disregarded the purported Kleven v. Hereford Settlement agreement the consideration for the obligation has failed, in whole or in part, through the fault of Defendants.
- 230. In addition, Defendants, by and through Hereford, expressly and materially represented to Kleven that Defendants held a registered trademark in the name of Rin Tin Tin for entertainment services in the field of motion pictures featuring a German Shepherd dog in class 041 (the "Motion Picture Trademark Rights"), and that Defendants would surrender and transfer said Motion Picture Trademark Rights to Kleven.
- 231. The representation was false and Defendants knew it was false at the time the representation was made.
- 232. In truth and in fact, Defendants held no such Motion Picture Trademark Rights, and thus, could not surrender and transfer same to Kleven.
- 233. Kleven was ignorant of the falsity of said representation and relied upon said representation to his detriment. Kleven's reliance upon Defendants'

representation was reasonable and justified based upon the assurances of Defendants.

- 234. In addition, Defendants, by and through Hereford, made a promise to Kleven to surrender and transfer to Kleven the Motion Picture Trademark Rights without any intention of performing said promise.
- 235. Such promise was made by Defendants, by and through Hereford, with intent to deceive or with intent to induce Kleven to enter into such agreement.
- 236. Kleven reasonably and justifiably relied on such promise, to his detriment.
- 237. Defendants did not, in fact, perform (as they had all the while secretly intended) and Kleven suffered damage and injury as a proximate result thereof.
- 238. Furthermore, by Defendants' conduct subsequent to the purported Kleven v. Hereford Settlement agreement, including, without limitation, agreeing to and purporting to surrender and transfer to Kleven Motion Picture Trademark Rights which rights Defendants did not hold and knew they did not hold, and subsequently purporting to formally register with the United States Patent and Trademark Office such rights in their own name, Defendants are estopped, equitably and judicially, from asserting that any such agreement exists, or is in effect or is binding in any manner or otherwise asserting anything with regard to such an agreement other than it is a nullity, unenforceable and of no force and effect.
- 239. Moreover, To the extent that one or more Defendants may assert that the purported <u>Kleven v. Hereford</u> Settlement is relevant to or affects any or all of Plaintiffs' claims herein, Plaintiffs hereby declare such purported settlement and the purported agreement rescinded and of no force and effect. Plaintiffs intend the service of this Complaint to constitute notice of such rescission.

27 | ///

28 | ///

COUNT XXI

[Elder Financial Abuse - Cal. Welfare & Institutions Code § 15610.30]

- 240. Kleven repeats and incorporates by reference the allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 239 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 241. Kleven in 80 years old. By reason of the conduct of Defendants as alleged hereinabove, Defendants, and each of them, have committed financial elder abuse against Kleven, as defined and enumerated in California Welfare & Institutions Code § 15610.30.
- 242. As a direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Kleven has suffered damage and injury including, without limitation, loss of use, exploitation and commercialization of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, diminution in value of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, loss of earnings, earning capacity and profits, loss of reputation and goodwill, professional acknowledgment and credits, and public fame, all according to proof.
- 243. As a further direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Kleven has suffered injury to Kleven's person and psyche including, without limitation, great and severe emotional distress, according to proof.
- 244. As a further direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Kleven has incurred and continues to incur attorneys' fees and costs, which Kleven is entitled to recover from Defendants as damages under the third party/tort of another theory, according to proof.
- 245. As a further direct, proximate and foreseeable result of Defendants' conduct as alleged herein, Kleven has incurred and continues to incur attorneys' fees and costs, which Kleven is entitled to recover from Defendants pursuant to Welfare & Institutions Code § 15657.5, according to proof.
 - 246. Kleven is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that

Defendants' unlawful conduct as alleged herein, was deliberate, despicable and malicious, undertaken with a conscious and utter disregard of Defendants' statutory and common law obligations to Kleven, in complete disregard of Kleven's intellectual property rights and with a clear intention of depriving Kleven of the value and use of such rights, all to Defendants' own benefit. Defendants' despicable conduct has subjected and continues to subject Kleven to cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of Kleven's rights so as to justify an award of exemplary and punitive damages, according to proof. Kleven is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the unlawful conduct of each Defendant was authorized and/or ratified by the remaining Defendants.

247. Defendants' unlawful conduct, as alleged herein, is continuing, as is the harm to Plaintiffs caused thereby. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law to address the continuing harm caused to Plaintiffs by Defendant's ongoing wrongful and unlawful interference, as alleged herein. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to injunctive relief, on statutory, common law and/or equitable grounds, to enjoin Defendants' continuing unlawful conduct.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request the following relief:

As to all Plaintiffs

1. Injunctive relief prohibiting all Defendants including, without limitation, Hereford, Rin Tin Tin, Inc. and Belleair, their officers, directors, agents, principals, divisions, representatives, servants, employees, associates, subsidiaries, affiliates, attorneys, successors and assigns, and all persons acting by, through, under or in active concert or in participation with or controlled, either directly or indirectly, by any of them, from using the mark RIN TIN TIN, or any confusingly similar variation thereof, as, or as a component of, a trademark, trade name or otherwise, in conjunction with the advertising, promoting, marketing, offering, or selling of goods and/or services in the United States, and from otherwise infringing

- 2. Injunctive relief prohibiting all Defendants including, without limitation, Hereford, Rin Tin Tin, Inc. and Belleair, their officers, directors, agents, principals, divisions, representatives, servants, employees, associates, subsidiaries, affiliates, attorneys, successors and assigns, and all persons acting by, through, under or in active concert or in participation with or controlled, either directly or indirectly, by any of them, from copying or displaying the name or likeness of the iconic fictional German Shepherd character named Rin Tin Tin, or any substantially version of the same, in conjunction with the advertising, promoting, marketing, offering, or selling of goods and/or services in and from the United States;
- 3. Injunctive relief requiring all Defendants including, without limitation, Hereford, Rin Tin Tin, Inc. and Belleair, their officers, directors, agents, principals, divisions, representatives, servants, employees, associates, subsidiaries, affiliates, attorneys, successors and assigns, and all persons acting by, through, under or in active concert or in participation with or controlled, either directly or indirectly, by any of them, to remove all appearances of the mark RIN TIN TIN from any and all Internet Websites, advertisements, and other marketing or promotional materials;
- 4. The transfer of the Internet website www.rintintin.com, and any other Internet website featuring the mark RIN TIN TIN, from Defendants to Plaintiffs;
- 5. Cancellation of all United States trademark applications and registrations for the mark RIN TIN TIN held by Defendants;
- 6. Issuance of an Order requiring Defendants, and each of them, to perform the terms of the <u>Leonard v. Hereford</u> Settlement;
- 7. An accounting for all profits acquired by Defendants through the sales of goods or apparel sold in conjunction with the unlawful use of the mark RIN TIN

- 8. An award of such monetary remedies as the Court finds appropriate to compensate Plaintiffs for the damages Plaintiffs have suffered as a consequence of Defendants' unlawful acts, including, without limitation, loss of use, exploitation and commercialization of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, diminution in value of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, loss of earnings, earning capacity and profits, loss of business, reputation and goodwill, loss and diminution of professional acknowledgments, industry and public credits and acclaim, all according to proof, as well as all of Defendants' profits attributable to such unlawful acts, according to proof;
- 9. An award of monetary damages in the amount of no less than five million dollars (\$5,000,000.00);
- 10. An award of treble damages or other enhanced monetary remedies to Plaintiffs, according to proof;
- 11. A finding that the infringement by Defendants was intentional and willful;
- 12. A finding that to the extent that parties have, at any time, reached an agreement that is relevant to any or all of the above-mentioned subject matter, such agreement has been and is rescinded and of no force and effect, by reason of a failure of consideration, according to proof;
- 13. A finding that to the extent that parties have, at any time, reached an agreement that is relevant to any or all of the above-mentioned subject matter, such agreement has been and is rescinded and of no force and effect, by reason of Defendants' fraud;
- 14. An award of attorneys' fees and costs as damages under the third party/tort of another theory, according to proof;
- 15. An award of attorneys' fees and costs to Plaintiffs, according to proof;

- 16. An award of punitive damages in the amount the Court deems just and appropriate;
 - 17. Such further relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

As to Plaintiff Kleven Only (Count XX)

- 18. An award of such monetary remedies as the Court finds appropriate to compensate Kleven for the damages Kleven has suffered as a consequence of Defendants' unlawful acts, including, without limitation, loss of use, exploitation and commercialization of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, diminution in value of the RIN TIN TIN intellectual property, loss of earnings, earning capacity and profits, loss of business, reputation and goodwill, loss and diminution of professional acknowledgments, industry and public credits and acclaim, all according to proof, as well as all of Defendants' profits attributable to such unlawful acts, according to proof;
- 19. An award of damages sufficient to compensate Kleven for injury to Kleven's person and psyche including, without limitation, great and severe emotional distress, according to proof;
- 20. An award of attorneys' fees and costs as damages under the third party/tort of another theory, according to proof;
- 21. An award of attorneys' fees and costs to Kleven pursuant to California Welfare & Institutions Code § 15657.5, according to proof;
- 22. An award of attorneys' fees and costs to Plaintiffs, according to proof;
- 23. An award of punitive damages in the amount the Court deems just and appropriate;
 - 24. Such further relief as the Court may deem just and appropriate.

///

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, demand is hereby made for trial by jury on all issues triable to a jury.

Dated: April 2013 LAW OFFICE OF KEVIN M. WELCH

By: Walal

LAW OFFICE OF KEVIN M. WELCH Kevin M. Welch, Esq. (SBN 254565) kevin@kmwlawoffice.com

P.O. Box 494

Hermosa Beach CA 90254-0494

Tel.: 310-929-0553 Fax: 310-698-1626

LAW OFFICE OF DAVID L. GERNSBACHER David L. Gernsbacher, Esq. (SBN 089596) dgernsbacher@dlglaw.com 9107 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 450

Beverly Hills CA 90210-5535

Tel.: 310-550-0125 Fax: 310-550-0608

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Max Kleven and Rin, Inc.